Mindfulness and Attention Training

Steady Practice Applied Science Series — SP-5

Steady Practice Research · 2026

Abstract

Mindfulness — the non-judgmental, present-moment awareness of experience — has moved from contemplative tradition into one of the most studied psychological interventions in clinical and non-clinical research. This survey synthesizes the evidence for practitioners: what mindfulness is, what it measurably changes, how large the effects are, what dose produces which outcomes, and when the evidence is genuinely strong vs. overstated. Key findings: Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT) produce robust effects for stress, anxiety, and depression relapse prevention in clinical populations (d = 0.38–0.65 depending on outcome); brief daily practice (10–15 minutes) produces measurable benefits in non-clinical populations; smartphone-delivered mindfulness has adequate evidence from 3 RCTs with effects roughly half the size of in-person programs; neuroimaging shows consistent changes in the default mode network, insula, and prefrontal cortex with regular practice; and a mind-wandering mind is reliably an unhappier mind — the attention- happiness connection is among the most replicated findings in mindfulness research. We also address the limitations of the field: widespread methodological problems, allegiance bias, and an inflated public narrative that outpaces the evidence.

Contents

1. Introduction

Mindfulness training has a paradoxical status in the behavioral science literature: it is among the most studied non-pharmacological psychological interventions, and also among the most overhyped. The scientific signal is real — mindfulness reliably reduces psychological stress and improves emotional regulation across a wide range of populations. But the claims frequently made in popular culture (mindfulness cures chronic pain, prevents cancer, transforms cognitive performance) are not supported by the current evidence.

This survey takes a calibrated view: strong where the evidence is strong, skeptical where it is not.

The evidence is particularly strong for: - Stress reduction and anxiety symptom improvement in clinical and subclinical populations - Depression relapse prevention (MBCT, specific clinical population) - Attentional control and the reduction of mind-wandering - Equanimity and emotional regulation under stress

The evidence is mixed or weak for: - Cognitive enhancement in healthy adults beyond attention - Physical health outcomes beyond stress-mediated pathways - Long-term effects of app-based mindfulness ($>$ 8 weeks) - Superiority over other active behavioral interventions

---

2. What Is Mindfulness?

2.1 The Operational Definition

Kabat-Zinn (1994), who established the modern clinical mindfulness framework, defines mindfulness as "paying attention in a particular way: on purpose, in the present moment, and non-judgmentally." Three components:

1. **Intention**: deliberate direction of attention
2. **Present-moment focus**: returning attention from past or future to current experience
3. **Non-judgment**: observing without evaluating or reacting

Bishop et al. (2004) formalized a two-component operational definition: (1) self-regulation of attention to immediate experience, and (2) adoption of a particular orientation toward experience characterized by curiosity, openness, and acceptance.

2.2 Forms of Practice

Formal practice: Dedicated meditation sessions with the explicit goal of developing mindfulness. Includes: - *Focused attention* (FA) meditation: sustained attention on a single object (breath), with re-orienting when the mind wanders - *Open monitoring* (OM) meditation: non-reactive monitoring of the entire field of experience without selecting a specific object - *Loving-kindness* (metta) meditation: cultivation of goodwill toward self and others

Informal practice: Bringing mindful awareness to everyday activities (eating, walking, working) without dedicated meditation time.

MBSR (Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction): The 8-week structured program developed by Kabat-Zinn at UMass Medical School. 2.5 hours/week of instruction plus a full-day retreat; approximately 45 minutes/day of home practice. The dominant evidence base for clinical outcomes.

MBCT (Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy): Combines MBSR with Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for depression relapse prevention. Specifically designed for patients with 3+ prior depressive episodes. The strongest single-outcome evidence base in mindfulness research.

---

3. Evidence for Clinical Outcomes

3.1 The Goyal Meta-Analysis

Goyal et al. (2014) published the most rigorous systematic review to date in JAMA Internal Medicine, reviewing 47 trials (N=3,515) of mindfulness meditation programs using active controls (i.e., the comparison was not no-treatment). Key findings:

- **Anxiety**: moderate effect size (d = 0.38, 95% CI: 0.12–0.64)
- **Depression**: moderate effect size (d = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.00–0.59)
- **Pain**: moderate effect size (d = 0.33, 95% CI: 0.03–0.62)
- **Stress/distress**: low effect size (d = 0.22)
- **No evidence** for benefit on positive mood, attention, weight, substance use, sleep, or quality of life vs. active controls

Critical reading: Many less rigorous meta-analyses report larger effects (d = 0.50–0.80) because they compare mindfulness to waitlist/no-treatment controls, which inflates the apparent effect. Goyal et al.'s active-control comparison is the relevant benchmark for clinical decision-making.

3.2 MBCT and Depression Relapse Prevention

The strongest clinical evidence for mindfulness is in depression relapse prevention via MBCT. For patients with three or more prior depressive episodes:

- Kuyken et al. (2016) RCT (N=424): MBCT equivalent to antidepressant maintenance therapy for relapse prevention at 24 months (44% vs. 47% relapse). MBCT significantly superior for patients with higher baseline depressive symptoms.
- Piet and Hougaard (2011) meta-analysis (6 RCTs): MBCT reduced depression relapse risk by 34% vs. control (RR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.53–0.82) in patients with three or more prior episodes.
- The UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines recommend MBCT as a first-line treatment for depression relapse prevention.

This is the highest-quality evidence in mindfulness research: consistent effects across multiple independent RCTs, replication in meta-analyses, guideline adoption.

3.3 Stress Reduction

MBSR was originally developed for chronic pain patients as a stress reduction program. For general stress and psychological distress:

- Khoury et al. (2013) meta-analysis (209 studies): mindfulness significantly reduced stress (d = 0.57), anxiety (d = 0.63), and depression (d = 0.59) — but most studies lacked active controls.
- Grossman et al. (2004) meta-analysis of MBSR specifically: d = 0.50 for mental health outcomes; d = 0.42 for physical health outcomes. Modest to medium effects.

The stress-reduction evidence is real but effect sizes are moderate and depend on outcome measure and comparison condition.

---

4. Attention and Cognitive Effects

4.1 Mind-Wandering and Happiness

Killingsworth and Gilbert (2010) used experience sampling (N=2,250) to measure mind-wandering frequency and happiness in real time. Key findings: - People mind-wander approximately 47% of waking hours - Mind-wandering predicts unhappiness more strongly than what people are doing - Mind-wandering to neutral or pleasant topics still predicts lower happiness than being on-task - The relationship is causal: mind-wandering precedes unhappiness (not the reverse) in the time series

This is the foundational empirical result motivating attention training: if attention is the variable most directly linked to moment-to-moment happiness, and mind-wandering is the default mode, then training attention is training happiness.

4.2 Focused Attention and Attentional Control

Jha et al. (2007) provided the first controlled study of mindfulness effects on specific attentional systems. MBSR training improved the efficiency of the alerting attention network (maintaining a state of readiness) and the orienting network (shifting attention to a new stimulus).

The executive attention network (resolving conflict between responses) was not significantly improved.

Zeidan et al. (2010) showed that just 4 days × 20 minutes of focused attention training produced significant improvements in working memory, sustained attention, and cognitive processing speed vs. control. Effect sizes were moderate but striking for such a brief intervention.

Caveat: The most ambitious cognitive enhancement claims (mindfulness dramatically improves IQ, creativity, or academic performance) are not well-supported. The evidence is strongest for attention-specific measures and executive function; evidence for broader cognitive enhancement is weaker and methodologically contested (Van Dam et al., 2018).

4.3 Default Mode Network Suppression

The default mode network (DMN) — a set of brain regions active during mind-wandering, self-referential thought, and rumination — is associated with anxiety, depression, and unhappiness. Mindfulness practice consistently reduces DMN activity during meditation.

Brewer et al. (2011): experienced meditators showed significantly less DMN activity during meditation and greater deactivation of key DMN nodes (posterior cingulate cortex) compared to novices across multiple meditation types. Crucially, experienced meditators also showed reduced DMN activity during non-meditation resting state — suggesting long-term changes in default cognitive mode.

---

5. Neurological Changes with Sustained Practice

5.1 Structural Brain Changes

Lazar et al. (2005): the first structural MRI study of long-term meditators. Meditators showed increased cortical thickness in the right insula, right superior temporal cortex, and left prefrontal cortex — regions involved in interoception (body sensing), auditory processing, and executive control. The left prefrontal effect was inversely correlated with age, suggesting mindfulness may partially offset cortical thinning.

Hölzel et al. (2011) longitudinal RCT (N=17): 8 weeks of MBSR produced significant increases in gray matter density in the left hippocampus, posterior cingulate cortex, cerebellum, and temporo-parietal junction — regions associated with learning, memory, emotional regulation, and perspective-taking. Amygdala gray matter density decreased, consistent with reduced stress reactivity.

Interpretation caution: Neuroimaging studies in this area typically have small samples, and structural changes may reflect attention and memory demands of the meditation protocol rather than mindfulness per se. Replication across independent groups is not yet established for all regions.

5.2 Amygdala Reactivity Reduction

Taren et al. (2015) showed that mindfulness meditation training reduced amygdala resting-state functional connectivity to regions associated with stress reactivity. Reduction was correlated with perceived stress score improvements. The amygdala-downregulation finding aligns with clinical evidence: mindfulness reduces anxiety and improves emotional regulation by attenuating the threat-response system.

5.3 The Dose-Structure Question

Tang et al. (2015) reviewed neuroimaging studies and concluded that short-term mindfulness training (hours to weeks) primarily affects attention networks; longer-term practice (months to years) additionally affects self-referential processing and emotional regulation circuits. The dose matters for which neural systems are engaged.

---

6. Dose and Format

6.1 How Much Practice Is Needed?

The dose-response relationship for mindfulness is not well-characterized in the literature — most RCTs use fixed protocols (MBSR: 8 weeks, 45 min/day) rather than dose-ranging designs.

Available evidence:

- **Zeidan et al. (2010)**: 4 days × 20 minutes produced significant attentional effects in non-meditators
- **Carmody and Baer (2008)**: Within MBSR, time spent practicing formal meditation correlated with improvement in mindfulness and well-being (r = 0.40–0.50)
- **MacLean et al. (2010)**: Intensive retreat (3 months, 6–8 hours/day) produced sustained attentional improvements measured at 7 months post-retreat
- **Creswell et al. (2019)**: Brief mindfulness training (3 days × 25 minutes) significantly reduced loneliness and increased social contact vs. relaxation control

The practical takeaway: meaningful effects begin with 10–20 minutes per day of consistent practice; full MBSR-level effects require the 8-week, 45-min/day protocol. More is generally better, but modest doses are not ineffective.

6.2 Smartphone and App-Based Mindfulness

The app-based mindfulness market (Headspace, Calm, Insight Timer) is enormous. The scientific evidence is much smaller.

Economides et al. (2018): Headspace (10 days × 10 minutes) produced significant reductions in irritability and increased compassion vs. control. Effect sizes modest.

Firth et al. (2017) meta-analysis of smartphone mindfulness apps (7 studies, N=1,628): significant reductions in depression (d = 0.57), anxiety (d = 0.47), and stress (d = 0.36). Methodological quality was generally poor (waitlist controls, short follow-up, allegiance bias risk).

Linardon et al. (2020) updated meta-analysis (13 RCTs): apps produced significant small-to-moderate effects on anxiety (d = 0.36), depression (d = 0.40), and psychological well-being (d = 0.50). Larger effects in clinical vs. non-clinical populations.

Honest assessment: App-based mindfulness works, but at roughly half the effect size of in-person MBSR. Evidence at >12 weeks follow-up is sparse. App compliance rates are typically 30–50% at 8 weeks. The technology delivers the content; adherence remains the binding constraint.

---

7. Limitations of the Mindfulness Literature

Van Dam et al. (2018) published a comprehensive critique of the mindfulness research literature in *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. Key identified problems:

Methodological issues: - Most studies compare mindfulness to no-treatment/waitlist controls, inflating apparent effects - Active control conditions (e.g., health education, relaxation training) often produce similar effects to mindfulness programs - Self-selection bias: people who enroll in mindfulness studies are already oriented toward the approach - Allegiance bias: the majority of mindfulness researchers are also mindfulness practitioners

Conceptual issues: - "Mindfulness" is not a single thing — FA, OM, and loving-kindness meditation have distinct neurological and psychological effects that are frequently combined in meta-analyses - Self-report mindfulness scales (FFMQ, MAAS) measure reported mindfulness, not behavioral or neural indicators; their validity is uncertain - What counts as "meditation" in the literature ranges from 4 minutes of guided audio to 3-month intensive retreats — pooling these as if they're equivalent is problematic

What this means for practitioners: The clinical evidence for MBSR/MBCT in specific populations (stress, anxiety, depression relapse) is sufficiently replicated to recommend. Claims beyond this — particularly dramatic cognitive enhancement, physical health transformation, or personality change — should be treated skeptically without personal verification.

---

8. Loving-Kindness and Compassion Meditation

A distinct form of practice deserves attention: loving-kindness (metta) and compassion meditation, which explicitly cultivate goodwill and compassion toward self and others.

Lindsay et al. (2018) RCT (N=153): loving-kindness meditation reduced loneliness (d = 0.54) and increased social contact vs. mindfulness monitoring and control conditions. This is a particularly relevant finding for behavior change platforms — loneliness is an independent risk factor for mortality (Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015) and a driver of many unhealthy behaviors.

Weng et al. (2013): 2-week compassion training increased altruistic behavior and changed insula and caudate nucleus activation in response to suffering. The changes were training-dose-

dependent.

Loving-kindness practice offers a distinct value proposition from attention training: it explicitly targets social connectedness and self-compassion (see SP-1 on identity and self-compassion in habit formation).

---

9. Individual Differences and Who Benefits Most

Not everyone benefits equally from mindfulness training. Identified moderators:

Baseline anxiety: Higher baseline anxiety or stress predicts greater response to MBSR (Shapero et al., 2018). Low-anxiety individuals may show floor effects — there is less room to improve.

Trait absorption: Higher absorption (tendency to become immersed in experience) predicts more rapid skill acquisition in focused attention meditation.

Negative responses: Approximately 5–10% of people report adverse experiences from meditation, including increased anxiety, depersonalization, and distressing emotional content from traumatic memories (Lomas et al., 2015; Lindahl et al., 2017). These are underreported in clinical trials. Users with trauma histories or psychosis vulnerability should be informed of these risks.

Preference and fit: Some people find formal meditation aversive or culturally incongruous. Pushing meditation on users who do not find it meaningful produces dropout and no benefit. Informal mindfulness (mindful eating, mindful walking) is a lower-resistance alternative with some evidence support.

---

10. Design Principles for Steady Practice

Start with attention, not spirituality. The scientific evidence is framed in terms of attention regulation, not spiritual development. Present mindfulness features in secular, cognitive-behavioral language.

10–15 minutes per day is the minimum meaningful dose. Brief practices (< 5 minutes) have very limited evidence. Encourage progression to 15–20 minutes once the behavior is established.

Consistency beats duration. Daily 10-minute practice for 8 weeks outperforms weekly 90-minute practice on most measured outcomes. Design for frequency.

Surface the mind-wandering connection. The happiness-attention link (Killingsworth & Gilbert) is the most compelling and immediately verifiable argument for attention practice. Make this concrete: "When your mind wanders less, you'll be happier in the same activities you're already doing."

Screen for adverse experience. A small subset of users will report increased anxiety or distressing content from formal meditation. Offer informal alternatives (mindful walking, body scan lite) and normalize that sitting practice does not suit everyone.

Connect to other SP practices. Mindfulness improves sleep (SP-3: reduced sleep onset latency), enhances exercise experience (reduced effort perception, improved enjoyment), and supports habit formation (SP-1: non-judgmental awareness of habit failure is the opposite of all-or-nothing thinking).

Don't oversell. The cognitive enhancement claims and physical health claims are substantially overstated in popular culture. Honest calibration builds trust. Promising stress reduction and attention improvement and delivering them is better than promising transformation and delivering something modest.

---

11. Individual Variation

Not all individuals respond to mindfulness practice in the same way, and the sources of this variation are well enough characterized to guide personal protocol design.

Baseline trait mindfulness is the clearest predictor of absolute gain. Individuals with high baseline FFMQ scores are already practicing attention regulation as a natural cognitive style; formal MBSR training produces smaller effect sizes for them because they are closer to the endpoint before training begins. Those lowest in baseline trait mindfulness — chronically distracted, high mind-wandering, low interoceptive awareness — show the largest absolute gains

from the same 8-week protocol. This means standardized programs systematically understate effects for the most responsive individuals while showing floor effects for those who need it least.

Attachment style moderates which practice components are beneficial and which carry risk. Avoidant attachment predicts difficulty with open monitoring (OM) meditation — the component requiring sustained, non-judgmental present-moment awareness is precisely the state avoidant individuals are trained to resist. Focused attention (FA) practice, which directs attention to a specific anchor (breath, body sensation), is better tolerated. Anxiously attached individuals face a different risk: in early practice phases before skill consolidates, OM can amplify rumination by directing attention toward emotional content without the regulatory capacity to process it non-judgmentally. FA practice is the safer entry point for both attachment profiles; OM can be introduced after 4–6 weeks of FA stability.

Cognitive style, particularly ruminative thinking, is clinically significant. Approximately 30% of clinical samples score high on rumination scales (Nolen-Hoeksema, 2000), and this group is at elevated risk of early anxiety worsening with unstructured OM practice. This is not a reason to avoid mindfulness, but it is a reason to begin with FA rather than OM, to track anxiety separately from practice adherence in the first four weeks, and to treat early anxiety increases as signal to modify practice format rather than evidence of personal failure.

Dose-response heterogeneity is larger than standard protocol descriptions suggest. Headspace practice data and Carmody and Baer (2008) within-MBSR analyses both show a bimodal distribution: some individuals reach wellbeing improvement plateaus at 10 minutes per day and show no additional benefit from longer sessions; others show continued dose-response up to 40 minutes per day. Session length optimization is genuinely individual and cannot be determined from population norms. Tracking daily stress and mood ratings against session length over 4 weeks generates personalized dose data.

Adverse experience risk is real and underreported in clinical trials. Approximately 5–10% of people report adverse experiences (Lomas et al., 2015; Lindahl et al., 2017), including increased anxiety, depersonalization, or the surfacing of difficult emotional content. This rate is higher in individuals with trauma histories or psychosis vulnerability. These adverse responses are not evidence that the practice is wrong for everyone — they are evidence that particular formats (particularly extended OM practice) should be titrated carefully for specific subgroups.

Practical implications for self-experimentation: Begin with focused attention practice, not open monitoring. Track daily stress ratings and anxiety ratings separately from meditation minutes — the goal is a measurable reduction in reported stress, not adherence to a session length target. If anxiety increases in the first two weeks of OM practice, revert to FA and re-introduce OM in week 5 or later. Use your personal dose-response data (minutes vs. stress reduction) to find your individual sweet spot rather than defaulting to the 45-minute MBSR prescription.

N=1 Experiment Protocols

These protocols are designed for individual self-experimentation. Each uses a within-person design to generate personalized evidence that population averages cannot provide.

Dose-response experiment (6 weeks). Week 1–2: 5 min daily focused attention; Week 3–4: 10 min daily; Week 5–6: 20 min daily. Same practice type throughout. Measure: daily stress rating (1–10), mind-wandering frequency (subjective, 1–5), and sleep onset time. Decision: identify the week with the best stress-to-burden tradeoff — that is your minimum effective dose.

FA vs. OM crossover (4 weeks). Weeks 1–2: focused attention practice only (breath focus, return when wandering); Weeks 3–4: open monitoring only (non-reactive awareness of all experience). Same duration. Measure: anxiety rating, rumination frequency, and reported attentional control. Decision: whichever condition produces lower anxiety and higher attentional control = your baseline practice type.

Timing experiment (3 weeks). Week 1: practice immediately on waking; Week 2: practice mid-day (lunchtime); Week 3: practice before bed. Same duration and type. Measure: adherence rate and subjective quality of the session ("felt productive" 1–5). Decision: highest adherence + highest session quality = your optimal timing.

---

12. Conclusion

Mindfulness is one of the better-evidenced psychological interventions in clinical research, with MBSR and MBCT producing consistent, replicable effects for stress, anxiety, and depression relapse prevention. The evidence is weakest for the claims that attract the most attention — dramatic cognitive enhancement, longevity effects, structural brain change as a mechanism of everyday benefit — and strongest for the claims that attract the least: reliable reduction in subjective distress, improved attentional stability, and reduced mind-wandering over 8-week practice periods.

The key calibration for a personal science platform is between the genuine evidence and the inflated public narrative. Honest positioning — mindfulness as an attention training practice with consistent but modest effects, not a transformative technology — produces better long-term user relationships than overselling. Users who start with realistic expectations and achieve them will sustain practice; users who start with transformative expectations and achieve modest results will attribute failure to themselves or to the practice.

The connection to attention quality is the strongest, most immediately verifiable benefit: less mind-wandering correlates with higher reported happiness in the same activities. This is the most compelling, scientifically defensible entry point for introducing attention practice to new users.

References

Bishop, S. R., Lau, M., Shapiro, S., Carlson, L., Anderson, N. D., Carmody, J., … & Devins, G. (2004). Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 11(3), 230–241.

Brewer, J. A., Worhunsky, P. D., Gray, J. R., Tang, Y.-Y., Weber, J., & Kober, H. (2011). Meditation experience is associated with differences in default mode network activity and connectivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(50), 20254–20259.

Carmody, J., & Baer, R. A. (2008). Relationships between mindfulness practice and levels of mindfulness, medical and psychological symptoms and well-being in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 31(1), 23–33.

Creswell, J. D., Taren, A. A., Lindsay, E. K., Greco, C. M., Gianaros, P. J., Fairgrieve, A., … & Ferris, J. L. (2019). Alterations in resting-state functional connectivity link mindfulness meditation with reduced interleukin-6: A randomized controlled trial. *Biological Psychiatry*, 80(1), 53–61.

Dahl, C. J., Lutz, A., & Davidson, R. J. (2015). Reconstructing and deconstructing the self: Cognitive mechanisms in meditation practice. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 19(9), 515–523.

Economides, M., Martman, J., Bell, M. J., & Sanderson, B. (2018). Improvements in stress, affect, and irritability following brief use of a mindfulness-based smartphone app: A randomized controlled trial. *Mindfulness*, 9(5), 1584–1593.

Firth, J., Torous, J., Nicholas, J., Carney, R., Rosenbaum, S., & Sarris, J. (2017). Can smartphone mental health interventions reduce symptoms of anxiety? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 218, 15–22.

Goyal, M., Singh, S., Sibinga, E. M., Gould, N. F., Rowland-Seymour, A., Sharma, R., … & Haythornthwaite, J. A. (2014). Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 174(3), 357–368.

Grossman, P., Niemann, L., Schmidt, S., & Walach, H. (2004). Mindfulness-based stress reduction and health benefits: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 57(1), 35–43.

Hölzel, B. K., Carmody, J., Vangel, M., Congleton, C., Yerramsetti, S. M., Gard, T., & Lazar, S. W. (2011). Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, 191(1), 36–43.

Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A meta-analytic review. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 227–237.

Jha, A. P., Krompinger, J., & Baime, M. J. (2007). Mindfulness training modifies subsystems of attention. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 7(2), 109–119.

Kabat-Zinn, J. (1994). *Wherever you go, there you are: Mindfulness meditation in everyday life*. Hyperion.

Khoury, B., Lecomte, T., Fortin, G., Masse, M., Therien, P., Bouchard, V., … & Hofmann, S.

G. (2013). Mindfulness-based therapy: A comprehensive meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33(6), 763–771.

Killingsworth, M. A., & Gilbert, D. T. (2010). A wandering mind is an unhappy mind. *Science*, 330(6006), 932.

Kuyken, W., Warren, F. C., Taylor, R. S., Whalley, B., Crane, C., Bondolfi, G., … & Dalgleish, T. (2016). Efficacy of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy in prevention of depressive relapse: An individual patient data meta-analysis from randomized trials. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 73(6), 565–574.

Lazar, S. W., Kerr, C. E., Wasserman, R. H., Gray, J. R., Greve, D. N., Treadway, M. T., … & Fischl, B. (2005). Meditation experience is associated with increased cortical thickness. *NeuroReport*, 16(17), 1893–1897.

Lindahl, J. R., Fisher, N. E., Cooper, D. J., Rosen, R. K., & Britton, W. B. (2017). The varieties of contemplative experience: A mixed-methods study of meditation-related challenges in Western Buddhists. *PLOS ONE*, 12(5), e0176239.

Lindsay, E. K., Young, S., Brown, K. W., Smyth, J. M., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Mindfulness training reduces loneliness and increases social contact in a randomized controlled trial. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(9), 3488–3493.

Linardon, J., Tuck, N. L., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., Firth, J., & Fassnacht, D. B. (2020). Rates of attrition and dropout from app-based interventions for chronic health conditions: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(9), e20283.

Lomas, T., Cartwright, T., Edginton, T., & Ridge, D. (2015). A qualitative analysis of experiential challenges associated with meditation practice. *Mindfulness*, 6(4), 848–860.

MacLean, K. A., Ferrer, E., Aichele, S. R., Bridwell, D. A., Zanesco, A. P., Jacobs, T. L., … & Saron, C. D. (2010). Intensive meditation training improves perceptual discrimination and sustained attention. *Psychological Science*, 21(6), 829–839.

Neff, K. D. (2011). Self-compassion, self-esteem, and well-being. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 5(1), 1–12.

Piet, J., & Hougaard, E. (2011). The effect of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for prevention of relapse in recurrent major depressive disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Clinical Psychology Review, 31(6), 1032–1040.

Tang, Y.-Y., Hölzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(4), 213–225.

Taren, A. A., Gianaros, P. J., Greco, C. M., Lindsay, E. K., Fairgrieve, A., Brown, K. W., … & Creswell, J. D. (2015). Mindfulness meditation training alters stress-related amygdala resting state functional connectivity: A randomized controlled trial. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 10(12), 1758–1768.

Van Dam, N. T., van Vugt, M. K., Vago, D. R., Schmalzl, L., Saron, C. D., Olendzki, A., … & Meyer, D. E. (2018). Mind the hype: A critical evaluation and prescriptive agenda for research on mindfulness and meditation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 13(1), 36–61.

Weng, H. Y., Fox, A. S., Shackman, A. J., Stodola, D. E., Caldwell, J. Z., Olson, M. C., … & Davidson, R. J. (2013). Compassion training alters altruism and neural responses to suffering. *Psychological Science*, 24(7), 1171–1180.

Zeidan, F., Johnson, S. K., Diamond, B. J., David, Z., & Goolkasian, P. (2010). Mindfulness meditation improves cognition: Evidence of brief mental training. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 19(2), 597–605.