

Sleep Science for Personal Practice

Steady Practice Applied Science Series — SP-3

Steady Practice Research · 2026

Abstract

Sleep is the most undervalued variable in personal performance optimization. This survey synthesizes the sleep science literature for practitioners: sleep architecture and its functions, individual sleep need, the effects of sleep deprivation, the biology of circadian rhythm, evidence-based interventions for improving sleep, and the accuracy of consumer sleep tracking. Key findings: the individual sleep need is heritable and largely fixed (7–9 hours for most adults); sleep deprivation of as little as 6 hours per night produces cognitive deficits equivalent to 24–48 hours total deprivation after 10–14 days; Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia (CBT-I) is the first-line treatment for chronic insomnia and outperforms sleep medication in long-term outcomes; light exposure in the 2 hours before bed suppresses melatonin and delays circadian phase by 1–3 hours; and sleep is both a determinant and an outcome of the other habits a practice platform tracks (exercise, alcohol, stress, screen time). We cover the two-process model of sleep regulation, chronotype science and social jetlag, sleep hygiene evidence quality, and design principles for a platform that treats sleep as infrastructure, not just a metric.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Sleep Architecture	3
2.1 The Stages	3
2.2 The Architecture Shift Across the Night	4
2.3 Sleep Functions	4
3. Sleep Regulation: The Two-Process Model	5
3.1 Process S: Homeostatic Sleep Pressure	5
3.2 Process C: The Circadian Clock	5
3.3 Implications for Practice	5
4. Individual Sleep Need	6
4.1 The Distribution	6
4.2 Can You Train to Need Less Sleep?	6
5. Effects of Sleep Deprivation	7
5.1 Cognitive Performance	7
5.2 Metabolic and Physical Effects	7
5.3 Long-Term Health	8

6. Chronotype and Social Jetlag	8
6.1 The Chronotype Distribution	8
6.2 Social Jetlag	9
6.3 Practical Chronotype Assessment	9
7. Evidence-Based Sleep Interventions	9
7.1 CBT-I: The Gold Standard	9
7.2 Light Exposure	10
7.3 Temperature	11
7.4 Caffeine	11
7.5 Alcohol	11
7.6 Exercise	12
8. Consumer Sleep Tracking Accuracy	12
9. When Consumer Sleep Optimization Is Not Enough: Clinical Conditions	13
9.1 Insomnia Disorder vs. Situational Poor Sleep	13
9.2 Obstructive Sleep Apnea: The Most Under-Diagnosed Sleep Disorder	14
9.3 Circadian Rhythm Disorders and Shift Work	14
10. Sleep as Platform Infrastructure	15
Design Principles for Sleep	15
Individual Variation	16
N=1 Experiment Protocols	18
11. Conclusion	18
References	19

1. Introduction

Sleep is the only non-negotiable behavior in human physiology. Every known animal with a nervous system sleeps. The function of sleep is not fully understood, but its absence is catastrophically dangerous. Rats entirely deprived of sleep die in 3 weeks — faster than rats deprived of food (Rechtschaffen et al., 1983).

For human practitioners, the practical stakes are substantial but less dramatic: persistent mild-to-moderate sleep restriction (6 hours per night instead of 8) produces impairments in attention, working memory, emotional regulation, metabolic function, and immune response that accumulate across days and weeks. Critically, sleep-restricted individuals do not accurately perceive their own impairment (Van Dongen et al., 2003) — the standard subjective metric (“I feel fine on 6 hours”) is unreliable.

The sleep science literature is unusually strong: randomized controlled trials, experimental deprivation studies with objective measurement (polysomnography), and large longitudinal cohort studies converge on consistent findings. The main challenge for practitioners is sepa-

rating high-quality evidence from the commercial sleep industry’s amplification of marginal or speculative findings.

This survey covers: 1. Sleep architecture: what happens during sleep 2. Sleep regulation: the two-process model 3. Individual sleep need and the myth of “training to need less” 4. Effects of sleep deprivation 5. Chronotype and social jetlag 6. Evidence-based sleep improvement interventions 7. Sleep hygiene: what the evidence supports 8. Consumer sleep tracking accuracy 9. Sleep as a platform metric

2. Sleep Architecture

2.1 The Stages

A single night of sleep consists of 4–6 sleep cycles, each lasting approximately 90 minutes. Each cycle passes through:

N1 (light NREM): The transition between wake and sleep. Easy to arouse; accounts for ~5% of sleep time.

N2 (light/intermediate NREM): Sleep spindles (bursts of 12–15 Hz activity) and K-complexes appear. Sleep becomes harder to disrupt. Accounts for ~50% of total sleep time.

N3 (slow-wave sleep, SWS): The deepest sleep. Delta waves (0.5–4 Hz) dominate EEG. Difficult to arouse; grogginess on waking. Growth hormone is predominantly released during SWS. Memory consolidation (declarative, episodic) is processed here. Accounts for ~15–25% of total sleep time, concentrated in the first half of the night.

REM (Rapid Eye Movement): Dreaming sleep. Skeletal muscle atonia prevents acting out dreams. Emotional memory processing and creative problem solving are associated with REM. Acetylcholine dominant. Accounts for ~20–25% of total sleep time, concentrated in the second half of the night.

2.2 The Architecture Shift Across the Night

SWS is front-loaded: most slow-wave sleep occurs in the first 3–4 hours of a night. REM is back-loaded: most REM sleep occurs in the last 2–3 hours before natural waking. This architecture has critical practical consequences:

- Cutting sleep by 2 hours (sleeping 6 instead of 8) disproportionately eliminates REM sleep, not just total sleep
- Going to bed 2 hours late and waking at the same time eliminates primarily SWS
- Alcohol suppresses REM sleep in the first half of the night, producing REM rebound and lighter sleep in the second half

Consumer devices that label sleep stages as “light,” “deep,” and “REM” are tracking this architecture — imprecisely (see Section 8), but the conceptual model is real.

2.3 Sleep Functions

Memory consolidation: Stickgold (2005) review: sleep plays an active role in memory consolidation, not just passive rest. Both SWS (declarative memory) and REM (procedural, emotional memory) contribute distinct consolidation functions. Learning a motor skill improves 20% more after a night of sleep than after an equivalent waking period (Walker et al., 2002).

Metabolic waste clearance: Xie et al. (2013) showed that the brain’s glymphatic system — which clears metabolic waste including amyloid-beta — is 10× more active during sleep than wake. SWS is the period of peak glymphatic activity. This finding links chronic sleep deprivation to elevated amyloid accumulation and Alzheimer’s risk.

Hormonal regulation: Growth hormone (GH) is released primarily during SWS. Testosterone peaks during REM sleep (Leproult & Van Cauter, 2011: one week of 5 hours/night sleep reduced testosterone by 10–15% in healthy young men). Cortisol rises in the early morning hours in preparation for waking.

Immune function: Prather et al. (2015): participants sleeping <6 hours were 4.2× more likely to develop a cold when exposed to rhinovirus than those sleeping ≥7 hours. A single night of sleep deprivation reduces NK cell activity by 70% (Irwin et al., 1994).

3. Sleep Regulation: The Two-Process Model

3.1 Process S: Homeostatic Sleep Pressure

Borbély (1982) proposed the two-process model, which remains the dominant framework for sleep regulation. Process S is the homeostatic sleep drive: adenosine accumulates in the brain during waking and is cleared during sleep. The longer you are awake, the higher your adenosine burden, the sleepier you feel.

Caffeine works by blocking adenosine receptors — it does not reduce adenosine accumulation, only masks it. Caffeine with a half-life of ~5–7 hours consumed at 2 PM still has 25–37% of its effect at midnight, impairing sleep even when the drinker does not feel stimulated.

Sleep deprivation and Process S: After a night of total sleep deprivation, Process S is maximal: people will fall asleep instantly given the opportunity (average sleep onset latency < 3 minutes). Partial deprivation accumulates a sleep debt that requires more than one recovery night to clear (Banks & Dinges, 2007).

3.2 Process C: The Circadian Clock

Process C is the circadian rhythm: a ~24-hour biological clock driven by the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) in the hypothalamus. The SCN entrains to light exposure via retinal melanopsin cells (most sensitive to 480 nm blue light). It generates a daily rhythm of alertness that: - Rises through the morning - Dips in early afternoon (the “post-lunch dip,” driven by Process C regardless of food intake) - Rises again in the evening - Drops steeply at habitual sleep time

Process C and Process S interact: the evening alertness rise (the “wake maintenance zone” from approximately 1–3 hours before habitual sleep) is when falling asleep is hardest. This is why going to bed 2 hours earlier than normal produces prolonged sleep onset. The circadian clock has not released the wake-maintenance signal.

3.3 Implications for Practice

The two-process model predicts: - **Consistent sleep timing is more important than total duration alone** — irregular sleep timing disrupts Process C - **The optimal wake time is fixed by Process C** — irregular wake times produce social jetlag - **“Catching up” on**

weekends is partially effective — it reduces Process S debt but does not restore Process C synchrony - **Afternoon naps reduce Process S** — 20-minute naps (before Process S gets too low) maintain alertness without producing grogginess

4. Individual Sleep Need

4.1 The Distribution

The National Sleep Foundation (Hirshkowitz et al., 2015) recommends 7–9 hours for adults 18–64, 7–8 hours for adults 65+. These are population-level ranges, not individual prescriptions.

Individual sleep need is highly heritable (Partinen et al., 1983, $h^2 \approx 0.40\text{--}0.70$) and relatively stable across adult life. The primary determinant of “how much sleep do I need” is genetic, not behavioral.

The 6-hour phenotype: Approximately 1–3% of the population carry mutations in the *DEC2* or *ADRB1* genes that allow normal cognitive function on 6 hours of sleep without impairment (Fu et al., 2009). This is genuinely rare. The vast majority of people who believe they function well on 6 hours are chronically sleep-deprived and have habituated to the impairment.

Habituation and self-perception: Van Dongen et al. (2003) restricted participants to 6 hours/night for 14 days and tested cognitive performance daily. Subjective sleepiness stabilized after 3 days (participants thought they had adapted), but objective performance continued to decline throughout the 14 days. Participants cannot accurately assess their own impairment.

4.2 Can You Train to Need Less Sleep?

No. There is no peer-reviewed evidence that behavioral adaptation reduces the biological sleep need. Claims to the contrary typically conflate: - **Adaptation to subjective sleepiness** (you stop feeling as tired) with actual cognitive performance - **Polyphasic sleep** (multiple sleep periods totaling 7–9 hours) with reduced total sleep need - **Individual variation** (some people genuinely need less) with trainability

The evolutionary pressure for sleep is extreme. If sleep need could be substantially reduced through training, there would be strong selection pressure for it over millions of years of

evolution. The narrow distribution of sleep need (7–9 hours for 90%+ of the population) suggests this is not a plastic trait.

5. Effects of Sleep Deprivation

5.1 Cognitive Performance

Harrison and Horne (2000): a single night of sleep deprivation produces performance deficits comparable to a blood alcohol content of 0.10% on sustained attention tasks. The performance analogy: “I’m going to work on 6 hours of sleep” is roughly equivalent to “I’m going to drive to work at 0.05% BAC.”

Van Dongen et al. (2003) — the key dose-response study: participants restricted to 4, 6, or 8 hours/night for 14 days. Performance trajectories: - 8 hours: stable throughout - 6 hours: equivalent to one full night of total deprivation after 14 days - 4 hours: equivalent to two full nights of total deprivation after 14 days

The 6-hour group showed particularly striking disconnects between subjective and objective impairment.

Domain specificity: Sleep deprivation impairs attention and working memory most severely. Creative problem solving is also significantly impaired. Procedural motor performance is somewhat more resilient. Emotional regulation (amygdala reactivity) is highly sensitive: Yoo et al. (2007) showed that sleep-deprived participants showed 60% greater amygdala reactivity to negative stimuli compared to rested controls.

5.2 Metabolic and Physical Effects

Weight regulation: Spiegel et al. (2004): 6 nights of 4 hours/night sleep elevated ghrelin (hunger hormone) by 28% and reduced leptin (satiety hormone) by 18%, with self-reported hunger increase of 24%. Short sleep is a robust predictor of weight gain in longitudinal studies (Cappuccio et al., 2008, meta-analysis of 45,000 participants).

Metabolic rate and insulin sensitivity: Buxton et al. (2012): 3 weeks of insufficient sleep with circadian disruption reduced resting metabolic rate by ~8% and significantly impaired

insulin sensitivity. Effect on insulin sensitivity appeared within the first week.

Athletic performance: Mah et al. (2011): extending basketball players' sleep to 10 hours/night for 5–7 weeks improved reaction time by 0.79 seconds, free throw accuracy by 9%, and 3-point shooting by 9.2%. The improvement was attributed to reducing existing sleep debt, not sleep extension per se.

5.3 Long-Term Health

Cappuccio et al. (2010) meta-analysis of 16 prospective studies (1.3 million participants): both short sleep (< 6 hours) and long sleep (> 9 hours) predict all-cause mortality. Short sleep: RR = 1.12 (95% CI: 1.06–1.18). The long-sleep association likely reflects ill health causing longer sleep rather than sleep causing mortality.

Chronically short sleep is associated with increased risk of: type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, obesity, and — via glymphatic disruption — Alzheimer's disease. The causal direction is supported by Mendelian randomization studies that use genetic instruments for sleep duration (Daghlal et al., 2019).

6. Chronotype and Social Jetlag

6.1 The Chronotype Distribution

Chronotype is the preferred phase of the sleep-wake cycle: morning types (“larks”) are alert early and tire early; evening types (“owls”) tire and rise late. Roenneberg et al. (2007) measured chronotype in 55,000 Europeans via the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ). The distribution of chronotype is approximately normal, with the population center at a midsleep time of approximately 4:00 AM and a range of approximately midnight to 8:00 AM.

Chronotype is: - Largely heritable ($h^2 \approx 0.50$, Koskenvuo et al., 2007) - Age-dependent: peaks in eveningness around age 20, then gradually shifts toward morningness through adulthood - Sex-dependent: males are more evening-typed than females until approximately age 50

Practical implication: Chronotype is not primarily a behavioral choice. An evening-type person cannot simply “decide to become a morning person” without physiological consequences.

6.2 Social Jetlag

Roenneberg et al. (2012) coined “social jetlag”: the discrepancy between the biological sleep-wake schedule (driven by chronotype) and the social schedule (work, school start times). The average social jetlag in Western countries is approximately 1 hour; some evening types experience 2–3 hours. Social jetlag is analogous to weekly transatlantic travel in its circadian disruption effects.

Consequences of social jetlag: - Higher BMI (Roenneberg et al., 2012: each hour of social jetlag associated with 33% increased odds of overweight) - Increased depressive symptoms - Reduced academic and work performance - Increased caffeine and nicotine use (to compensate for morning impairment)

School start time studies support the causal direction: when school start times were delayed by 30–60 minutes, student sleep duration increased, academic performance improved, and depression and car accident rates declined (Wahlstrom et al., 2014).

6.3 Practical Chronotype Assessment

The Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ) asks: on free days (no alarm), when do you fall asleep and wake up? Midsleep time on free days (corrected for sleep duration: MSFsc) is the best single proxy for chronotype.

Simple heuristic: if the user’s free-day wake time is consistently 2+ hours later than their work-day wake time, they have significant social jetlag.

7. Evidence-Based Sleep Interventions

7.1 CBT-I: The Gold Standard

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia (CBT-I) is the first-line treatment for chronic insomnia according to American College of Physicians, American Academy of Sleep Medicine, and British Society for Sleep Medicine guidelines.

CBT-I components: 1. **Sleep restriction therapy:** temporarily limit time in bed to match actual sleep time, then extend gradually (Spielman et al., 1987) 2. **Stimulus control:** use the bed only for sleep and sex; get out of bed if awake for >20 minutes (Bootzin, 1972) 3. **Sleep hygiene education:** behavioral factors affecting sleep 4. **Cognitive restructuring:** address catastrophizing about sleep (e.g., “I will function terribly if I don’t sleep 8 hours”) 5. **Relaxation techniques:** progressive muscle relaxation, diaphragmatic breathing

Meta-analytic evidence: Okajima et al. (2011) meta-analysis of 14 RCTs: CBT-I significantly improved sleep onset latency ($d = -0.96$), wake after sleep onset ($d = -0.71$), total wake time ($d = -0.65$), and sleep efficiency ($d = 0.87$). Effects are durable at 12-month follow-up — superior to sleep medication.

vs. Sleep Medication: Morin et al. (2006) RCT directly comparing CBT-I to temazepam (benzodiazepine): similar short-term effects; at 24-month follow-up, CBT-I participants maintained or improved sleep quality, while medication participants returned to baseline.

Digital CBT-I: Espie et al. (2019) RCT of digital CBT-I (Sleepio) vs. sleep hygiene control ($N=1,711$): 76% of the digital CBT-I group achieved clinical improvement in insomnia severity at 8 weeks vs. 29% control. Effect sizes similar to face-to-face CBT-I.

7.2 Light Exposure

Light is the primary zeitgeber (time-giver) for the circadian clock. Light suppresses melatonin production via the retinohypothalamic tract.

Evening light exposure: Chang et al. (2015) RCT: reading a light-emitting device (iPad) vs. printed book for 4 hours before bed. iPad condition: melatonin onset delayed by 1.5 hours, REM sleep reduced, next-morning alertness reduced, and the following night’s circadian timing was delayed. Blue-light filtering glasses and Night Shift features partially (not fully) mitigate this.

Morning light exposure: Bright light (>2500 lux) in the morning advances the circadian phase — useful for evening chronotypes wanting to shift earlier. Blue light exposure within 30 minutes of waking (outdoors or a light therapy lamp) is the most effective phase-advance intervention available without medication.

Practical thresholds: Indoor light is typically 100–500 lux. Outdoor light on a cloudy day

is 2,500–10,000 lux. Bright sunlight is 50,000–100,000 lux. The circadian system requires significantly more light than typical indoor environments provide for robust entrainment.

7.3 Temperature

Core body temperature must drop by approximately 1°C (1.8°F) to initiate and maintain sleep. This is why: - Cool bedrooms (~65°F / 18°C) are consistently associated with better sleep quality - Warm baths or showers 1–2 hours before bed improve sleep onset (counterintuitively) by causing vasodilation that accelerates core temperature drop (Haghayegh et al., 2019, meta-analysis: 10-minute warm bath 1–2 hours before bed reduced sleep onset latency by average 10 minutes) - Exercise raises core temperature and should be avoided within 2 hours of bed for temperature-sensitive individuals (though the evidence on exercise timing and sleep is more nuanced — chronic exercisers show improved sleep quality regardless of exercise timing)

7.4 Caffeine

Caffeine half-life is 5–7 hours (population average; range 3–10 hours depending on CYP1A2 genotype). A 200 mg dose consumed at noon has approximately 50–100 mg active at midnight.

Practical cutoff: For most people, a last caffeine dose by 2 PM is a reasonable rule of thumb. CYP1A2 slow metabolizers may need to cut off earlier (noon or before). Caffeine sensitivity can be assessed by noting whether coffee in the afternoon affects sleep onset.

Adenosine interaction: Caffeine does not prevent adenosine from accumulating — it only blocks the receptor. The adenosine debt from caffeine’s wake-maintenance effect accumulates and produces a “crash” when caffeine clears. Caffeine consumed within 90 minutes of waking also blocks morning adenosine clearance.

7.5 Alcohol

Alcohol is a sedative and commonly used as a sleep aid. It reliably reduces sleep onset latency. It also: - Suppresses REM sleep in the first half of the night - Produces REM rebound in the second half (lighter, more fragmented sleep) - Increases arousal by activating the noradrenergic system as it is metabolized - Reduces slow-wave sleep quality

Even moderate alcohol (2 drinks) consumed in the evening reduces sleep quality as measured by HRV and next-day performance. Oura Ring data published by Oura Health (Kallio et al., 2019, internal analysis) showed alcohol dose-response: 0 drinks → 100% sleep quality score; 1–2 drinks → 91%; 3–4 drinks → 82%; 5+ drinks → 73%.

7.6 Exercise

Exercise is one of the most reliable non-pharmacological sleep improvers for both sleep quality and duration.

Kredlow et al. (2015) meta-analysis of 66 studies: acute exercise improves total sleep time ($d = 0.35$), sleep efficiency ($d = 0.19$), sleep onset latency ($d = -0.23$), and slow-wave sleep ($d = 0.54$). Chronic exercise shows larger effects.

Timing: concerns about evening exercise disrupting sleep are less supported than commonly believed. Stutz et al. (2019) meta-analysis: vigorous exercise ending up to 4 hours before bedtime does not significantly impair sleep in most populations. However, individuals sensitive to the thermogenic and sympathetic effects of exercise may need 2–3 hours of buffer.

8. Consumer Sleep Tracking Accuracy

See SP-2 (Self-Tracking) for detailed device accuracy data. Summary for sleep-specific context:

Total sleep duration: Consumer devices overestimate by an average of 20–30 minutes vs. PSG, primarily by misclassifying wake-after-sleep-onset as sleep. Useful for tracking relative changes (this week vs. last week); not reliable for precise clinical assessment.

Sleep staging: Light/deep/REM staging is approximately 50–65% accurate at the epoch level vs. PSG. The labels on consumer devices should be treated as broad indicators, not precise measurements. “Deep sleep” in app \approx SWS, but false positives and negatives are frequent.

Sleep onset latency: Reasonably accurate for most users (± 5 –10 minutes); degrades for people who lie very still in bed before falling asleep (misclassified as asleep).

Practical use: Consumer sleep tracking is valuable for: identifying obvious disruption events (alcohol nights, travel, illness), tracking long-term trends in sleep duration, and correlating

sleep with other tracked variables (next-day mood, HRV, performance). It should not be used for clinical diagnosis or precise stage-level optimization.

Orthosomnia risk: Harvey (2019) described “orthosomnia” — preoccupation with achieving perfect sleep tracker scores that itself causes sleep anxiety. Users who check their sleep score first thing in the morning and feel distressed by low scores may benefit from less frequent data review (weekly rather than nightly).

9. When Consumer Sleep Optimization Is Not Enough: Clinical Conditions

Consumer sleep tools and behavioral interventions — CBT-I components, light management, temperature, caffeine timing — are appropriate for the full range of normal sleep variation and subclinical disruption. They are not substitutes for clinical diagnosis when specific sleep disorders are present.

9.1 Insomnia Disorder vs. Situational Poor Sleep

Insomnia disorder (DSM-5) requires: difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep or early-morning awakening occurring at least 3 nights/week for at least 3 months, causing significant daytime impairment, not explained by another condition. This is distinct from acute insomnia (<3 months duration), which typically resolves with behavioral management.

CBT-I is the first-line treatment for both. For chronic insomnia causing significant functional impairment, clinician-delivered or clinician-supervised digital CBT-I is preferable to fully self-guided use. A platform can recommend CBT-I techniques for mild-to-moderate insomnia while flagging persistent symptomatic users (>12 weeks, with significant daytime impairment) for professional evaluation rather than additional self-management attempts.

The cognitive trap: A common CBT-I target is catastrophizing about sleep (“If I don’t sleep 8 hours, tomorrow will be ruined”). Removing this cognitive amplifier is often more impactful than further behavioral optimization — but it requires recognizing that the anxiety about sleep has become independent of actual sleep quality, and may itself be perpetuating the insomnia.

9.2 Obstructive Sleep Apnea: The Most Under-Diagnosed Sleep Disorder

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) affects approximately 15–20% of adults with some degree of airway obstruction during sleep; moderate-to-severe OSA affects 3–7% (Heinzer et al., 2015). Fewer than 20% of cases are clinically diagnosed at any given time.

OSA produces repeated partial or complete airway obstruction, causing oxyhemoglobin desaturation and cortical arousal that fragments sleep architecture without the person’s awareness. Untreated moderate-to-severe OSA is associated with: severe daytime sleepiness, significant cognitive impairment (attention, memory, executive function), hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic dysfunction. CPAP treatment reverses most of these effects within weeks.

Consumer sleep devices cannot diagnose OSA. The gold standard is polysomnography or validated home sleep testing. However, several consumer metrics correlate with elevated OSA risk and should prompt clinical referral rather than self-management: - Elevated sleeping heart rate combined with low HRV (repeated sympathetic arousal from obstructions) - Low or fragmented SpO2 during sleep (detectable on Oura Gen3, Apple Watch Series 6+, and SpO2-capable Garmin devices) - Consistently fragmented sleep with high wake-after-sleep-onset despite adequate time in bed and good sleep hygiene - Excessive daytime sleepiness despite 7+ hours sleep opportunity, not explained by sleep restriction

The appropriate platform response to these patterns is referral signaling: “Your sleep data shows patterns sometimes associated with sleep breathing disruption. Consider discussing this with a doctor.” Not diagnosis, not treatment recommendation — referral.

9.3 Circadian Rhythm Disorders and Shift Work

Social jetlag (§6.2) is a continuum with clinical delayed sleep phase disorder (DSPD), which affects approximately 0.15–0.4% of adults and is characterized by a biologically driven sleep timing delayed 2+ hours beyond conventional schedules (Reid & Zee, 2011). DSPD does not respond reliably to behavioral interventions alone; timed light therapy and low-dose melatonin at specific circadian phases are the evidence-based treatments.

Shift workers face a related but distinct challenge: 10–38% develop shift work disorder (Drake et al., 2004) — significant sleep-wake disruption from chronic misalignment between work

schedule and biological clock. Behavioral tools (strategic napping, timed light exposure, melatonin) reduce impact but rarely eliminate it for permanent night or rotating shift schedules.

Clinical red flags warranting professional evaluation rather than self-management: - Witnessed apneas (breathing pauses observed by a bed partner) or loud habitual snoring - Severe excessive daytime sleepiness despite 7+ hours sleep opportunity - Persistent >30-minute sleep initiation latency or wake-after-sleep-onset, 3+ nights/week for >12 weeks - Restless legs symptoms (irresistible urge to move legs at night, relieved by movement) - Sleep timing that drifts continuously without stabilizing at any schedule

10. Sleep as Platform Infrastructure

Sleep is unusual among health behaviors in being both a cause and an outcome of everything else the platform tracks:

- **Exercise** → **better sleep** (Kredlow et al., 2015)
- **Better sleep** → **more effective exercise** (Mah et al., 2011)
- **Stress** → **disrupted sleep** (Åkerstedt, 2006)
- **Better sleep** → **improved stress resilience** (Prather et al., 2011)
- **Alcohol** → **disrupted sleep** (dose-response)
- **Poor sleep** → **increased alcohol craving** (Chakravorty et al., 2014)
- **Poor sleep** → **reduced habit formation capacity** (via impaired procedural memory consolidation)

This means: sleep is the best single leverage point on the entire behavioral system. A platform that successfully improves a user's sleep duration by 45 minutes has likely improved: mood, emotional regulation, metabolic function, exercise recovery, and cognitive performance — without directly targeting any of those outcomes.

Design Principles for Sleep

Treat sleep duration as a primary outcome metric, not just a tracked variable. The intervention recommendation pipeline should prioritize sleep improvement when duration is consistently below 7 hours.

Surface the behavioral correlates automatically. “On nights following exercise, your sleep duration averages 37 minutes longer” is more actionable than a raw sleep score.

Calibrate expectations. Most users cannot improve sleep quality by optimizing a single variable. Sleep is upstream of most other habits; improvement requires a systems-level view.

Screen for orthosomnia risk. Users who show high variability in reported next-morning distress based on sleep scores, or who disengage from the platform after bad sleep nights, may benefit from less granular sleep data display.

Use sleep data to contextualize other metrics. Low exercise performance on a night with 5h sleep is contextually appropriate. Separating “bad day” from “bad habit” requires overlaying sleep data on performance data.

Individual Variation

Sleep intervention responses vary substantially across individuals, and this variation is not random noise — it follows predictable biological and genetic patterns that make chronotype assessment the correct starting point for any sleep optimization protocol.

Chronotype is the strongest predictor of optimal sleep timing. Morning types (M-types) and evening types (E-types) differ by 2–4 hours in naturally preferred sleep timing, driven by genetic variation in circadian clock genes (PER3, CLOCK, CRY1). Roenneberg et al. (2003) developed the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ), which quantifies chronotype by mid-sleep time on free days, corrected for sleep debt. Population studies show the distribution is roughly normal with a wide range: the difference between the most extreme morning and evening types spans 8+ hours in natural sleep timing. Critically, shift work and social jetlag (§6.2) produce larger performance and health deficits in evening types than in morning types, because E-types are forced further from their biological optimum. Applying an early-morning intervention (early wake time, morning light) to an E-type before identifying their chronotype risks worsening their alignment rather than improving it.

Caffeine metabolism (CYP1A2 genotype) determines afternoon caffeine impact on sleep. Approximately 50% of the population carry the slow-metabolizer allele of CYP1A2, with a caffeine half-life of roughly 7–8 hours compared to 2.5–3.5 hours in fast metabolizers

(Cornelis et al., 2006). A cup of coffee consumed at 2pm will reduce to ~25% of its peak concentration by 10pm in a fast metabolizer — largely cleared — but retain ~50–65% of its concentration at the same time in a slow metabolizer. This pharmacokinetic difference routinely exceeds the effect of popular sleep hygiene interventions when slow metabolizers unknowingly continue afternoon caffeine. Genetic testing (23andMe, AncestryDNA) can identify CYP1A2 status, but a practical proxy is whether you notice sleep disruption from afternoon caffeine — slow metabolizers typically do.

Melatonin sensitivity varies independently of dose. Some individuals show robust circadian phase-shifting from physiological-dose melatonin (0.3–0.5 mg taken 2 hours before target sleep onset); others show minimal response even at supraphysiological doses (5–10 mg). The phase-shifting mechanism depends on melatonin receptor density and sensitivity in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, which is heritable ($r \approx 0.50$ in twin studies; Koskenvuo et al., 2007). Melatonin’s primary value is as a timing agent — advancing or delaying the circadian phase — not as a sedative. Using it as a sedative at high doses disrupts sleep architecture without producing the intended phase shift.

Adenosine accumulation rate varies and shapes sleep pressure dynamics. Individuals with slower adenosine build-up can sustain wakefulness with minimal performance degradation for longer periods but often experience pronounced sleep inertia on waking — difficulty reaching full cognitive performance in the first 1–2 hours. Fast-accumulating individuals feel strong homeostatic sleep pressure within 14–16 hours of waking and recover cognitive function rapidly after sleep. This variation in sleep pressure dynamics affects both the optimal sleep timing and the value of strategic napping: fast-accumulating individuals benefit substantially from short naps (10–20 min) during prolonged wake periods; slow-accumulating individuals show smaller acute nap benefits.

Practical self-experiment implication. Before adopting any sleep intervention, run a 2-week chronotype self-assessment: log natural wake and sleep times on at least 4–5 work-free days (weekends, vacation) during which you sleep without an alarm and without prior sleep debt. Calculate your mid-sleep time on free days. This is your MCTQ chronotype estimate. Any intervention targeting sleep timing — earlier bedtime, morning light, evening melatonin — should be anchored to your natural biological phase, not a social convention. A sleep intervention calibrated to the wrong phase will produce worse results than no intervention.

N=1 Experiment Protocols

These protocols are designed for individual self-experimentation. Each uses a within-person design to generate personalized evidence that population averages cannot provide.

Consistent wake time experiment (4 weeks). Set a single wake time 7 days/week (including weekends). Do not change anything else. Measure: sleep onset time (self-report), morning energy rating (1–10), and Oura/WHOOP sleep score if available. Decision: if average energy improves ≥ 1.0 point by week 3, adopt permanently.

Caffeine cutoff crossover (4 weeks). Weeks 1–2: last caffeine at 12pm; Weeks 3–4: last caffeine at 3pm. Same total daily dose. Measure: sleep onset time (minutes to fall asleep) and HRV. Decision: ≥ 15 -minute reduction in sleep onset time or $\geq 5\%$ HRV improvement in noon-cutoff condition = adopt noon cutoff.

Pre-sleep routine experiment (3 weeks). Week 1: no structured pre-sleep routine; Week 2: 20-minute wind-down protocol (dim lights, no screens, same sequence); Week 3: 20-minute routine + consistent sleep time. Measure: sleep onset time and sleep quality score. Decision: each added element should reduce sleep onset time by ≥ 10 minutes to justify the overhead.

11. Conclusion

Sleep is the most under-optimized variable in personal health and the most comprehensively evidenced one. The core scientific facts are unusually clear: adults need 7–9 hours of sleep per night; sleep deprivation of even modest degree produces measurable impairment in virtually every cognitive and physical performance domain; and this impairment is typically invisible to the person experiencing it, because sleep deprivation also impairs the metacognitive capacity to notice impairment.

The behavioral levers for sleep improvement are also unusually well-characterized. CBT-I outperforms sleep medication in both short-term and long-term outcomes for chronic insomnia and is available in self-guided digital form. Light management, consistent sleep-wake timing, temperature regulation, and alcohol avoidance in the hours before bed are all supported by controlled evidence. The challenge is not knowledge of these interventions but execution — which is a behavior change problem, not a sleep science problem.

For a personal science platform, sleep occupies a uniquely central role: it is simultaneously a tracked outcome, a confounding variable for every other experiment, an independent predictor of next-day cognitive and physical performance, and a target of behavioral intervention. No other single variable shows more consistent downstream effects on mood, cognition, exercise performance, dietary choices, and HRV. Treating sleep as the platform’s primary health infrastructure metric — the lens through which all other data is interpreted — is scientifically correct and practically high-leverage.

References

- Åkerstedt, T. (2006). Psychosocial stress and impaired sleep. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 32(6), 493–501.
- Banks, S., & Dinges, D. F. (2007). Behavioral and physiological consequences of sleep restriction. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*, 3(5), 519–528.
- Borbély, A. A. (1982). A two process model of sleep regulation. *Human Neurobiology*, 1(3), 195–204.
- Bootzin, R. R. (1972). Stimulus control treatment for insomnia. *Proceedings of the American Psychological Association*, 7, 395–396.
- Buxton, O. M., Cain, S. W., O’Connor, S. P., Porter, J. H., Duffy, J. F., Wang, W., ... & Shea, S. A. (2012). Adverse metabolic consequences in humans of prolonged sleep restriction combined with circadian disruption. *Science Translational Medicine*, 4(129), 129ra43.
- Cappuccio, F. P., D’Elia, L., Strazzullo, P., & Miller, M. A. (2010). Sleep duration and all-cause mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Sleep*, 33(5), 585–592.
- Cappuccio, F. P., Taggart, F. M., Kandala, N.-B., Currie, A., Peile, E., Stranges, S., & Miller, M. A. (2008). Meta-analysis of short sleep duration and obesity in children and adults. *Sleep*, 31(5), 619–626.
- Chakravorty, S., Grandner, M. A., Mavandadi, S., Perlis, M. L., Sturgis, E. B., & Oslin, D. W. (2014). Suicidal ideation in veterans misusing alcohol: Relationships with insomnia symptoms

and sleep duration. *Addictive Behaviors*, 39(2), 399–405.

Chang, A.-M., Aeschbach, D., Duffy, J. F., & Czeisler, C. A. (2015). Evening use of light-emitting eReaders negatively affects sleep, circadian timing, and next-morning alertness. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(4), 1232–1237.

Daghlas, I., Dashti, H. S., Lane, J., Aragam, K. G., Rutter, M. K., Saxena, R., & Vetter, C. (2019). Sleep duration and myocardial infarction. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 74(10), 1304–1314.

de Zambotti, M., Rosas, L., Colrain, I. M., & Baker, F. C. (2017). The sleep of the ring: Comparison of the ÖURA sleep tracker against polysomnography. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*, 17(2), 124–136.

Espie, C. A., Emsley, R., Kyle, S. D., Gordon, C., Drake, C. L., Siriwardena, A. N., ... & Luik, A. I. (2019). Effect of digital cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia on health, psychological well-being, and sleep-related quality of life. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 76(1), 21–30.

Fu, Y.-H., Bhangoo, R., & Bhangoo, R. (2009). The transcriptional repressor DEC2 regulates sleep length in mammals. *Science*, 325(5942), 866–870.

Haghayegh, S., Khoshnevis, S., Smolensky, M. H., Diller, K. R., & Castriotta, R. J. (2019). Before-bedtime passive body heating by warm shower or bath to improve sleep: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 46, 124–135.

Harrison, Y., & Horne, J. A. (2000). The impact of sleep deprivation on decision making: A review. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 6(3), 236–249.

Harvey, A. G. (2019). A transdiagnostic intervention for youth and young adults with sleep disturbance. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 26(2), 402–418.

Hirshkowitz, M., Whiton, K., Albert, S. M., Alessi, C., Bruni, O., DonCarlos, L., ... & Ware, J. C. (2015). National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration recommendations: Methodology and results summary. *Sleep Health*, 1(1), 40–43.

Irwin, M., Mascovich, A., Gillin, J. C., Willoughby, R., Pike, J., & Smith, T. L. (1994). Partial sleep deprivation reduces natural killer cell activity in humans. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 56(6), 493–498.

Koskenvuo, M., Hublin, C., Partinen, M., Heikkilä, K., & Kaprio, J. (2007). Heritability of

- diurnal type: A nationwide study of 8753 adult twin pairs. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 16(2), 156–162.
- Kredlow, M. A., Capozzoli, M. C., Hearon, B. A., Calkins, A. W., & Otto, M. W. (2015). The effects of physical activity on sleep: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 38(3), 427–449.
- Leproult, R., & Van Cauter, E. (2011). Effect of 1 week of sleep restriction on testosterone levels in young healthy men. *JAMA*, 305(21), 2173–2174.
- Mah, C. D., Mah, K. E., Kezirian, E. J., & Dement, W. C. (2011). The effects of sleep extension on the athletic performance of collegiate basketball players. *Sleep*, 34(7), 943–950.
- Morin, C. M., Bootzin, R. R., Buysse, D. J., Edinger, J. D., Espie, C. A., & Lichstein, K. L. (2006). Psychological and behavioral treatment of insomnia: Update of the recent evidence (1998–2004). *Sleep*, 29(11), 1398–1414.
- Okajima, I., Komada, Y., & Inoue, Y. (2011). A meta-analysis on the treatment effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy for primary insomnia. *Sleep and Biological Rhythms*, 9(1), 24–34.
- Partinen, M., Kaprio, J., Koskenvuo, M., Putkonen, P., & Langinvainio, H. (1983). Genetic and environmental determination of human sleep. *Sleep*, 6(3), 179–185.
- Prather, A. A., Janicki-Deverts, D., Hall, M. H., & Cohen, S. (2015). Behaviorally assessed sleep and susceptibility to the common cold. *Sleep*, 38(9), 1353–1359.
- Prather, A. A., Puterman, E., Lin, J., O'Donovan, A., Krauss, J., Tomiyama, A. J., ... & Epel, E. S. (2011). Shorter leukocyte telomere length in midlife women with poor sleep quality. *Journal of Aging Research*, 2011, 721390.
- Rechtschaffen, A., Gilliland, M. A., Bergmann, B. M., & Winter, J. B. (1983). Physiological correlates of prolonged sleep deprivation in rats. *Science*, 221(4606), 182–184.
- Roenneberg, T., Allebrandt, K. V., Merrow, M., & Vetter, C. (2012). Social jetlag and obesity. *Current Biology*, 22(10), 939–943.
- Roenneberg, T., Kuehnle, T., Pramstaller, P. P., Ricken, J., Havel, M., Guth, A., & Merrow, M. (2007). Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 11(6), 429–438.

- Spielman, A. J., Saskin, P., & Thorpy, M. J. (1987). Treatment of chronic insomnia by restriction of time in bed. *Sleep*, 10(1), 45–56.
- Spiegel, K., Tasali, E., Penev, P., & Van Cauter, E. (2004). Brief communication: Sleep curtailment in healthy young men is associated with decreased leptin levels, elevated ghrelin levels, and increased hunger and appetite. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 141(11), 846–850.
- Stickgold, R. (2005). Sleep-dependent memory consolidation. *Nature*, 437(7063), 1272–1278.
- Stutz, J., Eiholzer, R., & Spengler, C. M. (2019). Effects of evening exercise on sleep in healthy participants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 49(2), 269–287.
- Van Dongen, H. P. A., Maislin, G., Mullington, J. M., & Dinges, D. F. (2003). The cumulative cost of additional wakefulness: Dose-response effects on neurobehavioral functions and sleep physiology from chronic sleep restriction and total sleep deprivation. *Sleep*, 26(2), 117–126.
- Wahlstrom, K., Dretzke, B., Gordon, M., Peterson, K., Edwards, K., & Gdula, J. (2014). *Examining the impact of later school start times on the health and academic performance of high school students: A multi-site study*. University of Minnesota.
- Walker, M. P., Brakefield, T., Morgan, A., Hobson, J. A., & Stickgold, R. (2002). Practice with sleep makes perfect: Sleep-dependent motor skill learning. *Neuron*, 35(1), 205–211.
- Xie, L., Kang, H., Xu, Q., Chen, M. J., Liao, Y., Thiyagarajan, M., ... & Nedergaard, M. (2013). Sleep drives metabolite clearance from the adult brain. *Science*, 342(6156), 373–377.
- Yoo, S.-S., Gujar, N., Hu, P., Jolesz, F. A., & Walker, M. P. (2007). The human emotional brain without sleep — a prefrontal amygdala disconnect. *Current Biology*, 17(20), R877–R878.
- Drake, C. L., Roehrs, T., Richardson, G., Walsh, J. K., & Roth, T. (2004). Shift work sleep disorder: Prevalence and consequences beyond that of symptomatic day workers. *Sleep*, 27(8), 1453–1462.
- Heinzer, R., Vat, S., Marques-Vidal, P., Marti-Soler, H., Andries, D., Tobback, N., ... & Haba-Rubio, J. (2015). Prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing in the general population: The HypnoLaus study. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, 3(4), 310–318.
- Reid, K. J., & Zee, P. C. (2011). Circadian disorders of the sleep–wake cycle. In *Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine* (5th ed.). Elsevier.